Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research (2011), 10 (4): 905-911 Received: November 2009 Accepted: March 2010

Original Article

The Incidence of Amikacin Ototoxicity in Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Patients

Mohammad Reza Javadi ^{a*}, Bahareh Abtahi^b, Kheirollah Gholami^a, Behzad Safari Moghadam^b, Payam Tabarsi^c and Jamshid Salamzadeh^b

^aDepartment of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^bSchool of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^cNational Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, Masih Daneshvari Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Amikacin has been shown to irreversibly suppressCochlear activity. The aim of this study is to assess the incidence of amikacinototoxicity in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients and riskfactors associated withthis ototoxicity. In this cross-sectional study, 41 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) were included. All patients received fixed dose of intravenous amikacin(500 mg/day) and anti-TB medications for six months. Baseline Pure-Tone Audiometry (PTA) was performed on all patients, before and during the drug treatment with the frequency range between 250 Hz and 8000 Hz. Patients were closely observed for the occurrence of symptomatic ototoxicity using a questionnaire. To find an association between the incidence of cochlear damage and patients' demographics, all patients' data were recorded.

A total of 29 patients suffered from hearing loss (70.1%) (Male: n = 18; Female: n = 20). Using logistic regression, the incidence ofamikacinototoxicity was higher in men than in women. There was a negative correlation between the duration of the amikacintreatment and the difference in hearing thresholds(r = -0.34, p = 0.03). The mean of hearing threshold was significantly increased before and after theamikacintreatment((23.68 ± 19.26 vs. 38.93 ± 22.80) (p < 0.0001)). The incidence of hearing loss was remarkable in MDR-TB patients treating with amikacin. However, risk factors' determination and monitoring of audiometric result variations could haveinfluenced the incidence of the amikacin ototoxicity.

Keywords: Ototoxicity; Amikacin; Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis; Pure-tone audiometry.

Introduction

Amikacin, a semi-synthetic aminoglycoside (AG), shows considerable activity against multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) compared to the other AGs, with a similar ototoxicity to that of gentamicin (1-4). Aminoglycoside ototoxicity in both human and experimental models was

defined as damage inthe auditory system, vestibular systemor both (5-7). Among AGs, streptomycinandgentamicinhave higher rates of vestibulotoxicity (gentamicin has both vestibulotoxicity and cochleotoxicity but its' vestibulotoxicity is more pronounced), while amikacin, neomycin, dihydrostreptomycin, and kanamycin have higher rates of cochleotoxicity. Cochleotoxicity is generally a more serious problem than the vestibulotoxicity which leads to a permanent sensorineural hearingloss (8,

E-mail: mrjavadi@tums.ac.ir

^{*} Corresponding author:

9). Studies showed that this toxicity affects audiometrically detectable high-frequency hearing earlier than low-frequency oneswhich encompass the speech (10-13).

MDR-TB was defined as a high-level resistance to both isoniazidand rifampin, with or without resistance to other anti-TBdrugs (14). Chemotherapy of MDR-TB is based on the administration of first-line oral drugs combined with the additional injectable aminoglycosides (AGs), fluoroquinolones, oral bacteriostatic second-line drugs, and anti tuberculosisagents with unclear efficacy (15). However, treatment usually involves potentially toxic drugs andas a result, it is controversial whetherthe MDR-TB is treatable or not (16-21).

Different factors, such as lack of adequate audiological testing technology and lack of standards for hearing loss, which consider differentauditory thresholds for ototoxicity, emerge a need forfurther investigations (10). To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies that investigatesthe relationship between patients' characteristics and the incidence of the amikacinototoxicity in MDR-TBthus, there is a little data related to the incidence of the ototoxicity of amikacin in this group ofpatients. The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of ototoxicity in MDR-TB patients and study the risk factors that would influence thistoxicity.

Experimental

All 41 patients received fixed dose of intravenous amikacin(500 mg daily as 30 min IV infusion over 30 min) combined with the secondand third-line drugs for MDR-TBthrough six months. Those patients with any pre-treatment evidence of hearingloss (congenital deafness) and congenital abnormalities and also patients with evidence of infective pathology in ear (meningitis, chronic otitis media), surgical procedures and the ones using concomitant ototoxic drugs were excluded from our study.

Baseline Pure-Tone Audiometry (PTA) was performed on all patients before and during the drug treatment. Baseline pure-tone audiograms between 250 Hz and 8000 Hz were performed for all the patients in

anacoustic room. They were observed closely for the occurrence of symptomatic ototoxicity including deafness, tinnitus and dizziness using questionnaires and consultations with the medical specialist. All information regarding to the patients' profile, the relation between amikacin and the incidence of ototoxicity, the way of countering with toxicity (e.g. drug discontinuing) and the severity of toxicity, were recorded.

Ototoxicity is damage to the ear, specifically the cochlea or auditory nerve and sometimes the vestibular system. The criteria used for determining ototoxicity threshold shifted from baseline audiogram were: (I) 20 dB or greater decrease at any one test frequency, (II) 10 dB or greater decrease at any two adjacent frequencies, or (III) loss of response at three consecutive frequencies.

To investigate the influence ofdemographics, renal and liver functions and concomitant medications on amikacinototoxicity, all the patients' data were recorded on MDR-TB patient sheets at the baseline and during the treatment.

Continuous data were tested for normal distribution by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. An independent sample t-test was used for data in normal distribution and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for data in abnormal distribution. Continues data are presented as mean \pm SD and range, according to variable distribution. Logistic regression was performed to investigate the probability that a person has a ototoxicity within a specified time period predicted from knowing of the person's age, sex, body mass index, cigarette smoking, nationality andhistory of ototoxicity (baseline hearing threshold > 25 dB),renal and liver functions. Analysis of the relation between the variables with increase in hearing threshold was performed using multiple regressions. Statistical analysis of data was performed by χ^2 test (chi-square test) and the Fisher exact probability test (2-tailed); p-valuesless than 0.2 were considered statically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software for windows (version 10 USA).

Results and Discussion

A total of 41 patients were enrolled in our study (Male: n = 20; Female: n = 21) with age group

Table 1. Patients' characteristics.

Characteristics	Whole patients $(n = 41)$	Patients with ototoxicity $(n = 29)$
Age (years)	(15-74) 38.29 ± 17.05	$(15-74) \ 41.13 \pm 18.13$
Sex (F/M)	21/20	11/18
Weight (Kg)	$(34-80) \ 49.13 \pm 10.10$	$(34-80)$ 49.45 ± 11.54
BMI (Kg/m²)	$(12-34)\ 19.2 \pm 4.06$	$(12-34)\ 18.95 \pm 5.02$
Nationality (Afghani/Iranian)	20/21	17/12
Duration of treatment with amikacin (days)	$(13-131) 45.07 \pm 27.67$	$(13-102)$ 35.97 ± 19.55
Cigarette smoking	9	8
Drug abuse	7	6
Alcohol consumption	3	3
History of the pervious ototoxicity	19	17
Concomitant medications (in/de)	32/9	23/6
Renal impairment	1	1
Liver impairment	9	7

F: Female; M: Male; Concomitant medications, in: Increase the amikacin blood concentrations (*e.g.* NSAIDS); Concomitant medications, de: Decrease the amikacin blood concentrations (*e.g.* Penicillins); Data about the history of ototoxicity was obtained by patients' interview at the beginning of study.

between 15 to 74 years (38.29 \pm 17.05), who had confirmed MDR-TB from July 2003 to June $2004 (45.07 \pm 27.67 \text{ days})$ in Masih Daneshvari Hospital located in Iran. The mean time for the investigation of ototoxicity incidence was 3 months. Twenty-nine of 41 amikacin recipients (70.1%) experienced decreases of at least 20dB on at least one occasion; 10dB or greater decrease at any two adjacent frequencies, or loss of response at three consecutive frequencies. There was no difference in the mean daily dose administered to inpatient populations with or without toxicity (500 mg/day). Demographics of whole patients and also the ones with ototoxicity, according to the possible potentially contributing factors, are shown in Table1.

Thehearing impairment was bilateral in 18 patients (62.06%) and unilateral in 11 patients (n = 6, right ear and n = 5, left ear). The severity of ototoxicityvaried widely from patient to patient (mild ototoxicity: about 44.83%; moderate ototoxicity: 17.24%; moderately severe ototoxicity: 24.14%; severe ototoxicity: 10.34%; profound ototoxicity: 3.45%).

To investigate the relationship betweenthe individual demographics and the incidence of ototoxicity, patients were divided in two groups: those with ototoxicity (n = 29) and those without ototoxicity (n = 12). The effect of Weight, BMI,

concomitant medications, cigarette smoking and alcohol use were not significant between two groups (p > 0.2), while there was a significant difference in terms of sex, nationality and the pervious history of ototoxicity between patients with auditory toxicity and those with no change in audiograms (p < 0.2) (Table 2). After investigating the interactions of these factors (age, sex, nationality and the pervious history of ototoxicity) (Table3), logistic regression test was performed. According to the final model, the only factor that showed a significant association with the development of ototoxicity was sex where men were more prone to ototoxicity than women (90% vs. 52.38%) (Equation 1).

$$(X = 1, men \ and \ X = 2, women)$$

$$\frac{\text{Pr ob. ototoxicity}}{\text{Pr ob. No ototoxicity}} = 0.095 \times e^{-2.1} X_1$$

The hearing thresholds before and after the drug treatment were not different significantly in association with age, weight, BMI, history of ototoxicity, concomitant medications, drug abuse or cigarette smoking (p > 0.2), while hearing threshold was influenced by sex and the length of amikacintreatment and also the factor of having Afghani nationality (p < 0.2)

Table 2. The relationship between the risk factors and the incidence of ototoxicity.

Factors	Test	p-value
Age (years)	Mann-Whitney	0.2
Sex (F/M)	Chi-square	0.008
Weight (Kg)	Mann-Whitney	0.96
BMI (Kg/m²)	Mann-Whitney	1
Nationality (Afghanian/Iranian)	Chi-square	0.14
History of Ototoxicity	Chi-square	0.014
Concomitant medications (in/de)	Fisher's Exact test	1
Drug abuse	Fisher 's Exact test	0.65
Cigarette smoking	Fisher's Exact test	0.24
Renal impairment	Chi-square	0.85
Liver impairment	Fisher's Exact test	0.45

F: Female; M: Male; BMI: Body Mass Index; in: Increase the amikacin blood concentrations (e.g. NSAIDS); de: Decrease the amikacin blood concentrations (e.g. Penicillins).

(Table 4). Using multiple regression, there was a linear relationship between the duration of amikacintreatment and the difference in hearing thresholds (r=-0.34, p=0.03); the meanofhearing threshold was significantly increased after theamikacin treatment (23.68 \pm 19.26 vs. 38.93 \pm 22.80, p < 0.0001).

In this study, age, cigarette smoking or drug abuse, do not significantly associate with the incidence and progress of cochleotoxicity, while similar to Black *et al.* study, our patients who were previously treated with amikacin, were more likely to develop hearing loss (20).

The Naranjo adverse drug reaction (ADR) probability scale was used and a score of 7 was obtained, indicating a probable ADR from amikacin. There were significant advances in understanding the mechanisms underlying the aminoglycoside ototoxicity in the past decade. It is now possible to identify the individuals with a genetic susceptibility to aminoglycoside ototoxicity, which can prevent a significant proportion of cases with hearing loss after aminoglycoside exposure. The knowledge resulting from studies has also expanded understanding the role of reactive oxygen species in a broad range of inner ear pathologies. In practical terms, knowledge of the mechanism will drive the design of novel rational therapeutic interventions. The amelioration of adverse effects of aminoglycosides will have far reaching implications for the safe use of drugs whose

primary efficacy is unquestioned.

The cochleotoxicity of AGsis not easily detectable and is mostly not with any clinical symptoms; while there isno recommendation for therapeutic drug monitoring in MDR-TB patients using amikacin. In this study, 29 patients among 41 (70.1%) experienced ototoxicity detected by 20dB or greater decrease at any one test frequency, 10dB or greater decrease at any two adjacent frequencies, or loss of response at three consecutive frequencies using serial audiograms. This decrease in standard PTA had an interindividual variability between 6 and 50dB, compared to Duggal et al. study with similar hearing losscriteria in MDR-TB patients receiving second line AGs (Amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin) where 18.7% of patients developed sensorineural hearing loss (15). De Jager et al. study showed hearing loss in 13 MDR-TB patients (21.3%) out of 70 using streptomycin, amikacin or kanamycin with decrease in 20dB at any one test frequency or 15dB at two or more consecutive frequencies (23). Studies in cystic fibrosis patients showed that the incidence of AGototoxicitywas 17% and 24%, respectively with a different hearing loss standard criteria: decrease in 15dB to 20dB at two or more consecutive frequencies in comparison with the decrease in 15dB any one test frequency (22, 24). The incidence of ototoxicity in patients with Gram-negative infections treated with amikacinwas 28.5%, however the decrease in

Table 3. The relationship between the risk factors and the incidence of ototoxicity.

Factors	Test	p-value
Age X Sex	Mann-Whitney	NS
Age X Nationality	Mann-Whitney	0.003
Age X History of Ototoxicity	Mann-Whitney	NS
Nationality X Sex	Chi-square	NS
History of Ototoxicity X Sex	Chi-square	0.02
History of Ototoxicity X Nationality	Chi-square	NS

NS: Not Significant.

15dB at any one test frequency considered as drug toxicity (25). The lack of acceptable standards to determine the hearing loss leads to differences in the result of our study,in comparison with other studies. Symptomatic ototoxicity including deafness, tinnitus and dizziness did not occur in our patients and most of them were not aware of their hearing loss, even when this decrease reached to lower frequencies.

Our results showed that the difference of hearing threshold, before and after the treatment, was negatively correlated with the duration of amikacintreatment. The severity of the hearing loss was decreased by the time. Interestingly, this finding was a contrast to other studies which reported thatby an increaseinamikacin administration days, the severity of hearing loss had increased (22, 24). Our finding could be explained by the fact that cochleotoxicity leads to a destruction of cochlear hair cells by generating oxygen and nitrogen free-radical species, which initiate an apoptosisintrinsic pathway cascade in hair cells (26-28). However, one study in an animal model, showed that following chronic AG ototoxicity regulatory systems including high expression of Fas protein wouldcontrol the hair cells apoptosis. In addition, through increasing the duration of treatment, there will be an increase inthe uptake of drug by cochlear outer and innerhair cells (OHCs, IHCs) and also a biphasic release from these cells, thus, free radicals generated throughcochleotoxicity would be removed bydetoxicant systems and the repeated AG exposure could cause the "upregulation" of detoxicant systems in the OHCs and/or IHCs (24).

Different studies investigated risk factors including largetotal daily dose, repeated courses of treatment, length of treatment, pervious

ototoxicity history, renal function, age, sex, extracellular fluid volume, hematocrit and body mass index contributing to ototoxicity of AGs (22, 24, 25, 30-34). To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies that evaluated the influence of these factors in MDR-TB patients receiving AG.In addition, our study–like thestudy of Braza*et et al.* showed the higher incidence of ototoxicity in men in comparison withwomen (the incidence of hearing loss in men was about 8.2 times more than women) (25). One reason for our finding could be the low rate of elimination and longerhalflife of AGs in men comparing to women (34, 35).

Our patients received amikacin only for a course andas a result, we could not find a relationship between amikacin ototoxicity and repeated courses of treatment. In addition, total daily dose of amikacin was not large and was similar in patients with or without toxicity (500 mg/day), which could not be considered as a variable. Since only one patient in ototoxic groupexperienced renal failure during the therapy, we could not conclude whetherthe renal disease is a predisposing factor for ototoxicity. A disadvantage of these studies was that we did not obtain amikacin serum levels and serum creatinine for any of our patients. So, interindividual differences in amikacin clearance were not evaluated.

In conclusion, as the patients generally had no sign of ototoxicity and were unaware of any hearing loss, they could not be expected to report their hearing loss. The lack of a reliable audiological testing and hearing loss standard determinants, emphasizes the need to observe precautions in patients using amikacin. Our data suggest that it is essential to conduct furtherstudies ina larger population of MDR-TB

Table 4. The relationship between the risk factors and the increase of hearing threshold.

Factors	Test	p-value
Age (years)	Kendall's Rank correlation	0.37
Sex (F/M)	Mann-Whitney	0.16
Weight (Kg)	Kendall's Rank correlation	0.91
BMI (Kg/m²)	Kendall's Rank correlation	0.851
Nationality (Afghanian/Iranian)	Mann-Whitney	0.76
History of ototoxicity	Mann-Whitney	0.34
Concomitant medications (in/de)	Mann-Whitney	0.6
Drug abuse	Mann-whitney	0.8
Cigarette smoking	Mann-whitney	0.55
Duration of treatment	Kendall's Rank correlation	0.13

F: Female; M: Male; BMI: Body Mass Index; in: Increase the amikacin blood concentrations (*e.g.* NSAIDS); de: Decrease the amikacin blood concentrations (*e.g.* Penicillins).

patients who received amikacin to investigate different variables contributed to ototoxicity.

References

- Chambers Henry F and Sande Merle A. The Aminoglycosides. Goodman, Gilman's Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. 9th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York (1996) 1103-1117.
- (2) Smith CR. Baughman KL, Edwards CQ, Rogers JF and Lietman PS. Controlled comparison of amikacin and gentamicin. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (1977) 296: 349-353.
- (3) Keating MJ, Bodey GP, Valdivieso M and Rodriguez V. A randomized comparative trial of three aminoglycosides-comparisons of continuous infusions of gentamicin, amikacin and sisomicin combined with carbenicillin in the treatment of infections in neutropenic patients with malignancies. *Medicine (Baltimore)* (1979) 58: 159-170.
- (4) Love LJ, Schimpf SC and Hahn DM. Randomized trial of empiric antibiotic therapy with ticarcillin in combination with gentamicin, amikacin or netilmicin in febrile patients with granulocytopenia and cancer. Am. J. Med. (1979) 66: 603-610.
- (5) CR Smith, Baughman KL, Edwards CQ, Rogers JF and Lietman P. Controlled comparison of amikacin and gentamicin. N. Engl. J. Med. (1977) 296: 349-353.
- (6) Gailiunas P, Dominguez-Moreno JM, Lazarus JM, Lowrie EG, Gottlieb MN and Merrill JP. Vestibular toxicity of gentamicin. Arch. Intern. Med. (1978) 138: 1621-1624.
- (7) Proctor L, Petty B, Lietman P, Thakor R, Glackin R and Shimizu HA. Study of potential vestibulotoxic effects of once daily versus thrice daily administration of tobramycin. *Laryngoscope* (1987) 97: 1443-1449.
- (8) Selimoglu E. Aminoglycoside-Induced Ototoxicity. Current Pharmaceutical Design (2007) 13: 119-126.
- (9) Selimoglu E, Kalkandelen S and Erdogan F. Comparative vestibulotoxicity of different

- aminoglycosides in the Guinea pigs. *Yonsei Med. J.* (2003) 44: 517-22.
- (10) Brummetti RE and Fox KE. Aminoglycoside-Induced Hearing Loss in Humans. *Atimicrob. Agents Chemother*. (1989) 33: 797-800.
- (11) Crifo S, Antonelli M, Gagliardi M, Lucarelli N and Marcolini P. Ototoxicity of aminoglycoside antibiotics in long-term treatment for cystic fibrosis. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* (1980) 2: 251-253.
- (12) Moffat DA and Ramsden RT. Profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss during gentamicin therapy. *J.Laryngol. Otol.* (1977) 91: 511-516.
- (13) Rappaport BZ, Fausti SA, Schechter MA and Frey RH. A prospective study of high-frequency auditory function in patients receiving oral neomycin. *Scand. Audiol.* (1986) 15: 67-71.
- (14) Ormerod LP. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB): epidemiology, prevention and treatment. *Br. Med. Bull.* (2005) 73-74: 17–24.
- (15) Duggal P and Sarkar M. Audiologic monitoring of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients on aminoglycoside treatment with long term follow-up. *BMC Ear, Nose and Throat Disorders* (2007) 7: 1-7.
- (16) AbbasiNazari M, Kobarfard F, Tabarsie P, Azimi M and Salamzadeh J. Effect of antituberculosis regimen containing ethambutol on serum magnesium level in pulmonary tuberculosis patients. *Iranian J. Pharm. Res.* (2009) 8: 33-36.
- (17) Iseman MD. Treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* (1993) 329: 784-91.
- (18) Farmer P, Bayona J and Becerra M. The dilemma of MDR-TB in the global era. *Int. J.Tuberc. Lung Dis.* (1998) 2: 869-76.
- (19) KobarfardF.Tuberculosis and traditional medicine: fighting the oldest infectious disease using the oldest source of medicines. *Iranian J. Pharm. Res.* (2004) 3: 71-72.
- (20) Espinal MA, Dye C, Raviglione M and Kochi A. Rational "DOTS Plus" for the control of MDR-TB. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* (1999) 3: 561-3.

- (21) Farmer P, Furin J and Bayona J. Management of MDR-TB in resource-poor countries. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* (1999) 3: 643-5.
- (22) Black RE, Lau WK, Weisteim RJ, Young LS and Hewitt WL. ototoxicity of amikacin. *Atimicrob. Agents Chemother*. (1976) 9: 946-961.
- (23) deJager P and van Altena R. hearing loss and nephrotoxicity in long-term aminoglycoside treatment in patients with tuberculosis. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* (2002) 6: 622-627.
- (24) Mulheran M, Degg C, Burr S, Morgan DW and Stableforth DE. Occurrence and risk of cochleotoxicity in cyclic fibrosis patients receiving repeated high-does aminoglycoside therapy. *Atimicrob. Agents Chemother.* (2001) 45: 2502-2509.
- (25) Barza M, Lauermann MW, Tally FP and Gorbach SL. Prospective, randomized trail of netilmicine and amikacin, with emphasis on eight nerve toxicity. *Atimicrob. Agents Chemother*. (1980) 17: 707-714.
- (26) Segal JA and Skolnick P. Polyamine-like actions of aminoglycosides and aminoglycoside derivatives at NMDA receptors. Eur. J. Pharmacol. (1998) 347: 311-7.
- (27) Roland PS. New developments in our understanding of ototoxicity. *Ear Nose Throat J.* (2004) 83: 15-6.

- (28) Bodmer D, Brors D, Pak P, Bodmer M and Ryan AF. Gentamicin induced hair cell death is not dependent on the apoptosis receptor Fas. *Laryngoscope* (2003) 113: 452-5.
- (29) Lei L, Wang J and Huang X. Correlation between expression of Fas protein and hair cell apoptosis in basilar papilla of chicken. *Lin. Chuang Er. Bi. Yan. HouKe. Za. Zhi.* (2002) 16: 297-9.
- (30) Finegold SM. Toxicology of Kanamycine in adults. *Ann. NY. Acad. Sci.* (1966) 132: 942-956.
- (31) Gyselynek AM. Pharmacokinetis of gentamycine: distribution of plasma and renal clearance. *J. Infe. Dis.* (1971) 124: 570-576.
- (32) Mills CD, Loos BM, Henley CM. Increased susceptibility of male rats to kanamycin-induced cocholetoxicity. *Hear Res*. (1999) 128: 75-79.
- (33) Zaske DE. Gentamycine pharmacokinetics in 1640 patients: method for control of serum concentration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (1982) 21: 407-411
- (34) Bauer L. *Applied Clinical Pharmacokinetics*. Mc Graw Hill, New York (2001) 93-176.

This article is available online at http://www.ijpr.ir