Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research (2016), 15 (Special issue): 159-163 Received: January 2015 Accepted: June 2015

Original Article

Oral Ciprofloxacin Prophylaxis in Patients Undergoing High Dose Therapy and Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Mahdi Tabarraee^a, Maria Tavakoli-Ardakani^{b*}, Mahshid Mehdizadeh^c, Mojtaba Ghadiani^a, Hamid Rezvani^a, Abbas Hajifathali^c and Samiyeh khamsi^d.

^aHematology and oncology center, Taleghani Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^bDepartment of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^cTaleghani Bone Marrow Transplantation Center, Taleghani Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University Of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ^dIslamic Azad University in Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Antibiotic prophylaxis is usually used in allogeneic stem cell transplantation, but its use in Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation (ASCT) is controversial. We evaluated the efficacy of ciprofloxacin prophylaxis in ASCT.

To identify the efficacy of ciprofloxacin on the incidence of neutropenic fever and its complications, 72 patients that had been admitted to Taleghani Hospital for ASCT between 2010 and 2012 were evaluated in our study. Oral ciprofloxacin 500 mg every 12 h was administered to 30 patients on the same day of high dose chemotherapy until the first febrile episode or until the recovery of neutropenia and the results were analyzed and compared with the historical control group 42 other transplanted patients who had not previously received ciprofloxacin.

The incidence of neutropenic fever was 80% with no difference between the two groups. But in ciprofloxacin group, duration of fever (1.7 days VS 3.5 days P=0.017), hospitalization due to stem cell transfusion (18.2 days VS 12.2 days p=0.03), incidence of bacteremia 3.3% VS 33.3%, p=0.002) and platelet recovery (13.9 VS 17.7 days=0.035) and platelet transfusions (P=0.04) were significantly lower than the control group no side effects and no delay in.

Based on this study oral ciprofloxacin prophylaxis is rational, efficacious and economic in ASCT.

Keywords: Oral Ciprofloxacin; Prophylaxis; Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation; High dose chemotherapy.

Introduction

Infections complications are the major causes of morbidity and mortality in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) (1).

Even though the risk of death due to infection

(compared to allogeneic transplantation). The risks of procedure are greater than those of conventional chemotherapy, and preventive policies should be implemented in any transplant program. Because of the hospital environment and bacterial resistance, the physical environment of transplant patients should aim to decrease the

risk of nosocomial infection (2).

is much lower after autologous transplantation

E-mail: mariatavakoli@sbmu.ac.ir

^{*} Corresponding author:

The gut is the main reservoir for gramnegative bacteria and a source of subsequent entry into the body. Gut decontamination is approached in different centers and countries. The fluoroguinolones, introduced in the 1980s, have transformed this field, becoming the most commonly used prophylactic antibacterial agents in neutropenic patients because of their broad antimicrobial spectrum, preservation of anaerobic gut flora, systemic bactericidal activity, good tolerability and myelosuppression. In acute leukemia patients, a large trial comparing levofloxacin to placebo has shown that the use of levofloxacin during neutropenic phase significantly reduces the risk of fever, bacterial infection, bacteremia, especially of gram-negative infections, and cost of intravenous antibacterial medicine for febrile neutropenia (3, 4,5,6,7 and 8). The medicine should be given until the first febrile episode or the recovery of neutropenia in the absence of fever. There is no doubt that routine prophylactic use of antibiotics can cause colonization of an individual patient with resistant organisms; but, the clinical relevance of this is unclear. Before 2005, few trials had been performed and none were large enough to provide conclusive evidence on the benefit of prophylaxis (9, 10, 11, 12 and 13). Bucaneve et al. (2005) observed a non-significant increase in the incidence of levofloxacin-resistant gram-negative bacteremia among patients receiving levofloxacin, but this did not affect outcomes such as infection-related morbidity or mortality. Therefore, their use is not recommended in units with a high level of quinolone resistance among gram negative bacteria and should be associated with periodic monitoring of local epidemiology as well. (14).

Experimental

In this study in Taleghani hematopoietic stem cell transplantation center, 90 patients who had undergone ASCT from January 2010 to December 2011 as treatment for multiple myeloma, malignant lymphoma (Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma), AML or solid tumors were eligible to enter the study. Patients younger than sixteen years old, any active infection, detection of pathogenic

organism in specimen cultures or antibiotic usage on admission were excluded from the study. Hospital records of ninety patients were evaluated, eighteen patients had excluding criteria and 72 patients enrolled to our study. Patients in group A received prophylactic oral ciprofloxacin, 500 mg every 12 h, from the first day of high dose chemotherapy until the first day of febrile episode or engraftment. Patients in group B did not receive prophylactic antibiotic. Patients in both groups received prophylactic acyclovir 400 mg/BID and fluconazole 400 mg/ daily. Cytomegalovirus antigen (CMVpp 65Ag) was measured weekly. In this study 30 patients whom received ciprofloxacin entering group A and 42 who did not receive ciprofloxacin entering the historical control group. The least samples size in each group was calculated with following formula $\alpha = \%5$, B=\%10, P₁=\%50, $P_{2} = \%90$

$$n = \frac{(Z_1 - \alpha/2 + Z_1 - B)^2 [P_1 (1 - P_1) + P_2 (1 - P_2)]}{(P_1 - P_2)^2}$$

$$23 = \frac{(1/96 + 1/28)^2 [0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.9 \times 0.1]}{(0.5 - 0.9)^2}$$

All patients received GCSF only protocol for stem cell mobilization. Patients who received allogeneic stem cell graft excluded from this study. Written informed consent was taken from all patients. All patients had general health criteria for (HSCT) (by cardiologist, psychologist, and dentist consultation). Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation was carried out according to standard protocols .Microbial cultures from urine, blood, different parts of skin and throat were performed for all patients. Pretransplant conditioning based on type and phase of disease and included the high dose chemotherapy followed by reinfusion of previously harvested autologous peripheral blood stem cells. Patients were physically examined for infectious signs every day and the temperature was routinely registered every 6 h routinely. Fever was defined with an episode of oral temperature equal or over 38.3 C° or 38 continuing for at least one hour.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean

Table 1. Characteristics of patients in two groups.

Patient characteristics	Group A	Group B	P value
Age	37.4 (22-52)	39.74 (25-53)	0.492
Gender	. ,	, , ,	
Male	19 (63.3%)	27 (64.3%)	1.000
Female	11 (36.7%)	15 (35.7%)	1.000
Disease			
NHL ^a	6 (20%)	8 (19.5%)	0.850
HD^b	12 (40%)	17 (41.5%)	0.852
MM ^c	10 (33.3%)	15 (36.6%)	0.811

^aNHL: Non Hodgkin Lymphoma

^bHD: Hodgkin Disease ^cMM: Multiple Myeloma

value ± standard deviation. Data analysis was performed using chi-square test, Fisher's exact tent, unpaired t test, and Mann Whitney U test. A p-value less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS vs. 17.0.

Results

From January 2010 to December 2011, 72 patients were evaluated, with 30 patients assigning into the prophylaxis group and 42 assigned to the control group. There were no significant differences between the two study groups regarding to the demographic and other characteristics (Table 1).

The incidence of neutropenic fever and duration of neutropenia were similar in both groups (Table 2). But there was a significant difference in the total number of febrile days and length of hospital stay after transplantation in prophylaxis and control groups (Table 2). There was no significant difference in neutrophil engraftment between the prophylaxis and control groups but, a statistically significant difference in the day of platelet engraftment and the number of platelet transfusions was detected between the two groups (Table 2). Positive blood cultures were significantly more in the control group (Table 2).

Discussion

Several randomized studies evaluated effects of quinolones prophylaxis on the rates of fever and infection in cancer patient with chemotherapy-induced neutropenia [3, 4, 5, 15, and 16]. Other studies evaluated effects on mortality rate (17, 18, and 19).

In one large study by Bucaneve et al. (2005)

Table 2. Outcomes of patients i.

Table 2. Outcomes of patients i.				
Outcomes of patients	Group A	Group B	P value	
Neutropenic Fever	24 (80.0%)	35 (83.3%)	0.763	
Positive culture	1 (3.3%)	14 (33.3%)	0.002	
Days of ANC<500	8.13% (3-12)	8.6 (3-13)	0.692	
Day of ANC.ENG	12.9 (8-15)	13.2 (8-18)	0.798	
Days PLT<20000	6.6% (1-11)	10.3 (1-20)	0.047	
Day of PLT.ENG	13.9 (9-18)	17.7 (8-27)	0.035	
No of Blood Transfusion	1.1 (0-2)	2 (0-5)	0.077	
No of PLT Transfusion	1.7 (0-3)	2.8 (0-5)	0.041	
Duration of Admission	18.2 (13-23)	22.2 (13-31)	0.032	
Fever .Duration	1.7 (1-3)	3.5 (1-8)	0.017	
Int.N-F	3 (1-5)	2.7 (1-5)	0.557	
Fever Day	6.0 (5-11)	5.5 (3-9)	0.492	

ANC: Absolute Neutrophil Count, ENG = EngrftmentInt, N-F =Interval between Neutropenia & Fever Fever Day = the day fever begins

760 hospitalized adult patients with acute leukemia, lymphoma, or solid tumors whose chemotherapy induced prolonged neutropenia (longer than 7 days) were randomized to receive either oral levofloxacin 500 mg daily or placebo from the start of chemotherapy until the resolution of neutropenia (3). This study and other two studies by Bucaneve et al. and Cullen M, et al. have documented the beneficial effects of levofloxacin prophylaxis on reducing rates of fever and infection in cancer patients with neutropenia (14,19). In two different studies by Gafter-Gvili A, et al. and Leibovici et al., it was shown that mortality reduced by antibiotic prophylaxis in neutropenia patients following chemotherapy (18, 20)

In one study by KA Guthrie *et al* during allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation, levofloxacin accompanied lower rates of bacteremia than ceftazidime (day 100, 19.2 VS 29.6%, P=0.02)

Many studies have documented usefulness of quinolone prophylaxis in reducing rates of fever and infection in cancer patients with neutropenia and during allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (21). In a meta-analysis of randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trials by Imran H, Tleyjeh IM, a total of 2,721 patients with solid and hematologic malignancies were randomized in eight eligible trials (22). Comparing with the placebo, there was a statistically non-significant but consistent decrease in mortality with fluoroquinolone prophylaxis (4.5% vs. 3.9%, relative risk (RR) 0.76, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.54, 1.08, p = 0.13, I(2) = 0%).

In our study we evaluated beneficial effects of ciprofloxacin during autologous bone marrow transplantation. Although the incidence of neutropenic fever was similar in control and ciprofloxacin groups (83% VS 80%), but duration of fever (1.7 days VS 3.5 days P=0.017) and hospital stay from stem cell transfusion (18.2 VS 22.2 P=0.03) were shorter in the ciprofloxacin group than the control group. This means that severity of infection is lower in ciprofloxacin group. Although some investigators have advocated caution for antibiotic prophylaxis because to possible increase in enteric infections such as C.difficile (23, 24), our study shows

these infections are is not considerable and cannot increase duration of hospitalization.

In addition, the incidence of bacteremia and the number of platelet transfusion were lower in ciprofloxacin group, that may be related to bone marrow suppression and peripheral consumption during infections disease. Although the beneficial effects of ciprofloxacin were shown during high dose chemotherapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation, but studies should be repeated periodically to evaluate the patterns of pathogens and resistance in any patient population and assess the effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis.

Conclusion

There is now convincing evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis reduces duration of fever and neutropenia and duration of hospitalization in patients with lymphoma, multiple myeloma, and solid tumors receiving high-dose chemotherapy in HSCT setting. Therefore, we recommend routine antibiotic prophylaxis in these groups of patients. Fluorquinolones are effective and well tolerated for prophylaxis. Among the quinolones, we should take the patterns of pathogens and resistance in our patient population into account. Therefore based on this study using of oral quinolones (ciprofloxacin) for prophylaxis may be rational, efficacious, and economic in ASCT.

References

- (1) Gratwohl A, Brand R, Frassoni F,Rocha V, Niederwieser D, Reusser P, Einsele H and Cordonnier C. Cause of death after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) in early leukemia: An EBMT analysis of lethal infectious complications and changes over calendar time. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. (2005) 36: 757-796.
- (2) Cordonnier C. *Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation*. 2008 revised edition P-199
- (3) Bucaneve G, micozzi A, menicheti F, Castagnoa E, Viscoli C, Leibovici L and Menichetti F. Levofloxacin to prevent bacterial infectionin patients with cancer and neutropenia. N. Engl. J. med. (2005) 353: 977-987.
- (4) Hartlap JH. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in immunocompromised patients. *Drugs* (1987) 34: 131-133.
- (5) Lew M.A, Kehoe K, Ritzew J, Antman K.H, Nadler L, Takvorian T, Mayer R, Kalish L and Finberg R. Prophylaxis of bacterial infections with ciprofloxacin

- in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation* (1991) 51: 630-636
- (6) Sampi K, Maseki N and Hattori M. A comparison of nystatin with norfloxacin for prevention of infection after consolidation therapy in patients with acute leukemia or autologous bone marrow transplantation: a randomized study. Gan to Kagaku Ryoho (1992) 19: 823-826
- (7) Shhroeder M, Schessel C and Selbach J. Antibiotic prophylaxis with gyrase inhibitors during cytostatically induced granulocytopenias in patients with solid tumors a double-blind prospective randomized study. *Onkologie.* (1992) 15: 476-479
- (8) Maiche AG and Muhonen T. Granulocyte colonystimulating factor (G-CSF) with or without a quinolone in the prevention of infection in cancer patients. Eur. J. Cancer (1993) 29A: 1403–1405
- (9) Talbot GH, Casileth PA, Paradiso L, Coronas RC and Bond L. Oral enoxacin for infection prevention in adults with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. The Enoxacin Prophylaxis Study Group. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. (1993) 37: 474-82.
- (10) Yamada T, Dan K and Nomura T. Prevention of bacterial and fungal infections in acute leukemia patients: a new and potent combination of oral norfloxacin and amphotericin B. *Intern Med.* (1993) 32: 710-5.
- (11) Brodsky AL, Minissale CJ and Melero MJ. Prophylaxis with fluoroquinolones in patients with neutropenia. *Medicina* (B Aires) (1993) 53: 401-7.
- (12) Carlson JW, Fowler JM, Mitchell SK, Carson LF, Mayer AR and Copeland LJ. Chemoprophylaxis with ciprofloxacin in ovarian cancer patients receiving paclitaxel: a randomized trial. *Gynecol. Onco.* (1997) 65:325-9.
- (13) Casali A, Veri C, Paoletti G, Tropea F and Modugno G. Chemoprophylaxis of bacterial infections in granulocytopenic cancer patients using norfloxacin. *Chemioterapia*. (1988) 7: 327-329.
- (14) Bucaneve G, castagnola E, Viscoli C, Leibovici L and Menichetti F. Quinolone prophylaxis for bacterial infections in afebrile high risk neutropenic patients. *Eur. J. Cancer* (2007) 5: 5-12.
- (15) Thomas X, Troncy J, Belhabri A, Thiebaut A, Bouhedou N, Michallet M, Fleurette J, Pivot C, Fiere D and Archimbaud E. Effectiveness of combined vancomycin and pefloxacine in gastrointestinal decontamination for preventing infections after chemotherapy-induced

- bone marrow aplasia. A randomized double-blind study. *Presse. Med.* (2000) 29: 1745-1751.
- (16) Tjan-HeiJnen VC, Postmus PE, Ardizzoni A, Manegold CH, Burghouts J, Van Meerbeeck J, Gans S, Mollers M, Buchhola E, Biesma B, Legrand C, Debruyne and Giaccone G. Reduction of chemotherapy-induced febrile leucopenia by prophylactic use of ciprofloxacin and roxithromycin in small-cell lung cancer patients: an EORTC double-blind placebo-controlled phase III study. *Ann Oncol.* (2001) 12: 359-1368.
- (17) VonBaum H, Sigge A, Bommer M, Kern WV, Marre R, Dohner H, Kern P and Reuter S. Moxifloxacin propylaxis in neutropenic patients. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. (2006) 58: 891-894.
- (18) Gafter-Gvili A, fraser A, Paul M and Leibovici L. Metaanalysis: antibiotic prophylaxis reduces mortality in neutropenic patients. *Ann. Intern. med.* (2005) 142: 979-995.
- (19) Cullen M, Steven N, Billingham L, Gaunt C, Hastings M, Simmonds P, Stuart N, Rea D, Bower M, Fernando I, Huddart R, Gollins S and Stanley A. Antibacterial prophylaxis after chemotherapy for solid tumors and lymphomas. N. Eng. J. Med. (2005) 353: 988-998.
- (20) Leibovici L, paul M, Cullen M, Bucaneve G, Gafter-Gvili A, Fraser A and Kern WV. Antibiotic prophylaxis in neutropenic patients: new evidence, practical decisions. *Cancer* (2006) 107: 1743-1751.
- (21) Guthrie KA, Yong M, Frieze D, Corey L and Frediks DN. The impact of change in antibacterial prophylaxis from ceftazidime to levofloxacin in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Bone marrow transplantation* (2010) 45: 675-681.
- (22) Imran H, Tleyieh IM, Arndt CA, Baddour LM, Erwin PJ, Tsigrelis C, Kabbara N and Montori VM. Fluroquinolone prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia: a meta-analysis of randomized placebocontrolled trials. Eur. J. Clin. Microbial Infect Dis. (2008) 27: 53-63.
- (23) Baden L.R. Prophylactic antimicrobial agents and the importance of fitness. N. Eng. J. Med. (2005) 8: 1052-1054.
- (24) Pepin J, Saheb N, Coulombe MA, Alary ME, Corriveau MP and Authier S. Emergence of fluoroquinolones as the predominant risk factor for Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea: a cohort study during an epidemic in Quebec. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* (2005) 41: 1254-60.

This article is available online at http://www.ijpr.ir

Journal alert and more ... Visit http://www.ijpr.ir or http:// ijpr.sbmu.ac.ir