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Abstract

The objective of the study was to compare pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of gliclazide after administration of immediate (IR) and modified release (MR) tablets. The experiment included rats with both normoglycemia and streptozocin (STZ)-induced hyperglycemia. Several MR formulations were designed and in-vitro drug release profile was assessed by a dissolution test. For the further in-vivo study the most suitable formulation was chosen. For pharmacokinetic analysis concentrations of gliclazide in plasma were determined by a validated high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method with UV detection. Pharmacodynamic efficacy of the drug was evaluated by measuring blood glucose concentrations. Gliclazide bioavailability was totally different for two formulations in both healthy and diabetic rats based on area under the curve (AUC), time to peak concentration (tmax) and peak concentration (Cmax). Reduction of blood glucose level was significantly higher after the administration of IR than MR formulation. The highest pharmacodynamic efficacy of gliclazide was observed in the normal animals group after administration of the IR tablets, while hypoglycemic effect of the drug was diminished in animals with induced diabetes. Our study suggested that results of reduction in blood glucose level for STZ-induced groups were not comparable with pharmacodynamic effect for normal group. It may be assumed that a decrease in glycemia in healthy subjects might not be a suitable factor for characterizing anti-diabetic drugs.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a major health problem and an important cause of prolonged ill health and early death (1). It is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by elevated blood glucose level and disturbances in carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism. An uncontrolled diabetes leads to several complications, which include cardiovascular, renal, retinal and fungal infection. Type 2 diabetes is the most common form of diabetes mellitus, accounting for approximately 90% of cases and affecting about 100 million people in the world. Projection indicates that there will be over 450 million type 2 diabetic patients by the year 2030 (2, 3).

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder in which prolonged treatment is necessary.
pharmacodynamic response, as well as pharmacokinetics of gliclazide. However in that experiment the drug was administered as a suspension, while the most popular formulation is tablet. Therefore in our study we examined whether diabetes has an influence on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of gliclazide after single administration of either IR and MR minitablet.

Experimental

Drug and reagents

Gliclazide and glibenclamide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Germany. The potassium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium hydroxides were of reagent grade, and purchased from POCH, Poland. Methanol, acetonitrile were of HPLC grade and obtained from Merck, Germany, streptozotocine from Sigma Chemical, USA. Deionized water was always used (USF, Germany). Diabrezide tablets 80 mg lot no. 0074103 were purchased from Molten, Italy. The following excipients were used for matrix tablet formulations: Kollidon SR (lot no. 85-3597, BASF, Germany); lactose FP V (Pharma Cosmetic, Poland); maltodextrin MD 200 (Grain Processing Corporation, USA); magnesium stearate (SO.G.I.S. Chimica Ind, Italy); talk (Farm-Impex, Poland). All the other chemicals used were of the analytical grade.

Apparatus and conditions

A Specol UV VIS device (Carl Zeiss, Germany) was used for quantitative analyses of gliclazide the dissolution test. As a pH-meter we used a Cyberscan 500 pH (Eutech Instruments, Singapore). The dissolution of gliclazide from tablet formulations was evaluated in a Dissolution Tester type DT 60 paddle apparatus (Erweka, Germany). A Korsch EK-O/DMS laboratory press provided instrumentation to produce matrix formulation tablets. A HPLC system (Spectra Physics, USA) consisted of a pump and a variable wavelength detector.

Formulations

Several formulation tablets of gliclazide MR (G - 1 – G - 4) were developed. The IR formulation (D - 1) consisted of pulverized, commercially available tablet (Diabrezide). The compositions of fabricated formulations
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Their codes are shown in Table 1. The 3 mg amounts of gliclazide were kept constant for all the formulations and the amount of Kollidon SR decreased gradually for each set of formulation.

Tablets discs (3 mm in diameter) of the formulations were compressed at 12 kN using Shimadzu press. The weight of each tablet was determined (23.18 ± 1.2 mg and 25.14 ± 0.6 mg mean weight of MR and IR tablets, respectively).

In-vitro study

In-vitro dissolution rates of gliclazide from matrix formulations were obtained (on 6 tablets of each formulation) using rotating paddles at 100 revolutions per minutes according to the specifications of the BP 2008 (apparatus II). The dissolution medium was 500 mL of phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 maintained at 37 °C throughout the experiment. Aliquots of 5 mL were collected at regular intervals up to 8 h after the commencement of the experiment. Gliclazide concentrations were determined with a UV spectroscopic method (λ = 226 nm) as described previously (7).

Different mathematical models (zero-order, first-order and Higuchi (13)) for simulation of kinetics of the drug-release process from matrix tablets were applied and the best fitting model was chosen.

Furthermore, for better characterization of the drug release profile the Korsmayer-Peppas Equation (1) model was utilized (14):

\[ \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = K t^n \]  

Equation (1)

Where \( M_t \) and \( M_\infty \) are cumulative amounts of drug released at time \( t \) and at infinite time respectively, \( k \) is a constant comprising the structural and geometric characteristics of the table, and \( n \) (the release exponent) is a parameter which depends on the release mechanism and is thus used to characterize it.

The mean dissolution time (MDT) was calculated from dissolution data, according to Mockel and Lippold using the following equation (15):

\[ MDT = (n / n + 1) \cdot k^{-1/n} \]  

Equation (2)

For further in-vivo studies the MR formulation that provided the slowest in-vitro dissolution rate was selected.

In-vivo study

Animals

Ten week - old Wistar rats both sexes weighing between 255 - 284 g were used in the study. They were maintained a standard palled diet and water. The animals were fasted for 12 h before experiment and food was withdrawn during the experiment.

The animal experiments conducted were approved by Institutional Animals Ethics Committee at the University of Medical Sciences in Poznań (No 24/2006) and adhere to the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care.

Induction of diabetes

Neonatal rats (5 days old) were used for inducing type 2 diabetes. A dose of streptozotocin equivalent to 80 mg/Kg body weight was dissolved in a sodium citrate buffer (pH 4.5) and administered intraperitoneally to the five days old animals. The dose of streptozocin used in the study was slightly modified from the method of Adikwu et al. (16), who used a lower dose of the drug (60 mg/Kg) to induce diabetes. Control group (non-diabetic rats) received citrate buffer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (%)</th>
<th>Formulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliclazide</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltodextrin</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollidon SR</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium stearic fumarate</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Ingredients (%) of the fabricated gliclazide matrix tablets (MR).
packed with a LiChrospher C 18 (5 µm) sorbent (both Merck, Germany) were used as analytical columns. The mobile phase was a mixture of 0.04 M potassium dihydrogenphosphate (pH = 3.8) and acetonitrile (51: 49; v/v). Flow rate was 1 mL/min and the gliclazide peaks were detected at 226 nm.

Gliclazide calibration curves were linear in the range 0.2 - 18 µg/mL and 20 - 50 µg/mL with a correlation coefficient of 0.999. The limit of quantification (LOQ) and the limit of detection (LOD; signal to noise ratio of 3) for gliclazide in serum were 0.1 µg/mL and 0.05 µg/mL, respectively.

**Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and statistical analysis**

Pharmacokinetic parameter estimates were calculated using TOPFIT 2.0 software, based on the non - compartmental model. Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA 7.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA) software. The results were expressed as a mean ± standard deviation (SD). Student t-test was used for comparison of the differences between formulations. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**In-vitro/in-vivo correlation (IVIVC)**

The main purpose of an IVIVC model is to utilize in-vitro dissolution profiles as a surrogate for in-vivo bioequivalence and to support biowaivers and data analysis of IVIVC attracts attention from the pharmaceutical industry and also to predict the entire in-vitro time course from the in-vitro data. There are total five levels of correlation i.e. A, B, C, D and multiple Level C (18, 19).

In this work level A of correlation was studied. This level of correlation is the highest category of correlation an represents a point-to-point relationship between in-vitro dissolution rate and in-vivo input rate of the drug from the dosage form. The first step was to calculate the fraction of the drug absorbed. The Wagner-Nelson method and a module of TOPFIT 2.0 software were utilized for this calculation. The second step was comparison of the fraction of drug absorbed to the fraction of drug dissolved in order to construct a level A IVIVC. The linear regression analysis was used for examination the
relationship between percent of drug dissolved and percent of drug absorbed. The following equation was used (19):

\[
\text{% absorbed in-vivo (t) = } \text{% dissolved in-vitro (t) } \cdot \text{Fabs}_{\text{MR}}(t)
\]  

were \( \% \) in-vitro dissolved (t) is the in-vitro dissolution at time t, \( \% \) in-vivo input (t) is the percent of dose introduced to the systemic circulation at time t and \( \text{Fabs}_{\text{MR}}(t) \) is the slope of the regression line and stands for the fraction of dose absorbed from MR formulations at time t.

### Results

The effect of different amount of Kollidon SR on gliclazide release rate is presented on Figure 1.

The use of Kollidon SR as a plastic material in the direct compression process to formulate a sustained release dosage form has been reported previously (7, 20). It is particularly suitable for the manufacture of pH-independent sustained release matrix tablets. A significant effect of Kollidon on the release profile was observed when its amount exceeded 45 % and 49 %. On 8 hours elapsed 64 % and 60 % of drug were released from the matrix formulations G - 1, G - 4. The optimum release profile (45.88 % after 8 hours) was observed for the formulation containing 63 % of Kollidon SR. The dissolution profile of the formulation G - 2 was the most similar to that observed for Diaprel and formulation C described in our previous paper (7). On this basis the tablets G - 2 were selected for further in-vivo studies in rats.

The values of release exponent (n), kinetic rate constant (k) and mean dissolution time (MDT) for all formulations were calculated from Equation 1 and Equation 2, and are presented in Table 2. As observed from obtained results, the value of correlation coefficient (r\(^2\)) for all formulations were high enough to evaluate the drug dissolution behavior by Equation 1.

The pharmacokinetic parameters of gliclazide acquired in the study are presented in Table 3. Gliclazide plasma concentration curves are presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Obtained \( C_{\text{max}} \) values were over 8-fold greater than MR tablets for both healthy and diabetic rats. The IR tablets were also characterized by shorter \( T_{\text{max}} \) for both groups as compared to MR formulations. The significantly higher AUC values observed for conventional tablets indicate increased bioavailability of the drug from IR formulations if compared to the MR formulations. Bioavailability of IR tablets was 6-fold greater if compared to MR tablets.

Statistical tests were performed to evaluate differences between mean values of glucose blood concentrations after administering either a

### Table 2. The values of the release exponent (n), kinetic constant (k), correlation coefficient (r\(^2\)), and mean dissolution time (MDT) for MR (G) and IR (D) gliclazide formulation tablets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation Tablets</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>r(^2)</th>
<th>MDT (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.0662</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>128.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
<td>113.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.0654</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>132.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td>130.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.2982</td>
<td>0.9703</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
placebo or a certain gliclazide formulation tablet. In IR gliclazide groups the hypoglycemic effect was statistically different (p < 0.05) 2 - 8 hours after the tablet was taken for both diabetic and normal rats. Nevertheless lowering of glycemia was greater in normal than in diabetic rats: after 1 hour glucose concentration dropped by 47 % in normoglycemic animals while in the STZ group it was reduced by 25 % only (Table 4).

There was a discrepancy in MR treated animals. In normal rats a significantly lower glucose level was maintained in 2, 4, and 6 hour while in the diabetic rats group such difference occurred only at 6 and 8 hour after the administration of gliclazide (Table 5).

The in-vitro, in-vivo correlation (IVIVC) was determined by plotting a graph of the fraction of absorbed drug in-vivo versus the fraction of drug released in-vitro. A high value of correlation coefficient (r² = 0.97) indicated good correlation between in-vitro, in-vivo data.

Discussion

Although the Fickan mechanism is typical for IR gliclazide formulation tablets, the non-Fickan mechanism characterizes the release of gliclazide from its matrix formulation tablets (20). The n value demonstrates that the transport mechanism of gliclazide from all formulation tablets is a Fickan one (n = 0.45) (Table 2). Comparison of MDT showed that the G - 3 formulation produced the best release-sustaining properties. On the other hand the formulation G - 2 presented the most similar release profile to MR tablets available on the market (Diaprel MR). On this basis the G - 2 as MR formulation was selected for further in-vivo studies in rats.

In the present study n5-STZ (streptozocin injection on the 5th day of birth) rat model of diabetes was used. Depending on the day of streptozocin injection, various extent of β cell damage could be obtained. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is generated by injecting STZ intravenously or intraperitonelly (100 mg/Kg) on the day of birth (n0 - model) with of. The rats treated with STZ on the day of birth, exhibit insulin deficient acute diabetes mellitus 3 - 5 days after birth. However the hyperglycemia observed in the neonates following STZ is only transient and the plasma glucose and insulin values are no longer significantly different from those of control. It was found n0 - STZ rats showed mild hyperglycemia that only 8 weeks after STZ injection (21). Alternatively the n - STZ rat model was developed by varying the day of the STZ injection after the birth, such as 2nd day or 5th day of the birth. These are alternatively called n2 - STZ and n5 - STZ model respectively. The n2 - STZ and n5 - STZ models are developed by 80 mg/Kg i.p. STZ injection. The n0 - STZ and n2 - STZ models are found almost similar with respect to growth, basal plasma glucose, insulin levels, lack of insulin release in response to glucose, in-vivo glucose intolerance and depletion of pancreatic insulin stores. The n5 - STZ model shows an unaltered basal hyperglycemia, glucose intolerance, raised glycosylated hemoglobin, a strong reduction
Table 3. Mean pharmacokinetic parameters (± SD) of gliclazide after oral administration of the IR and MR formulation minitablets in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (units)</th>
<th>IR formulation</th>
<th>MR formulation</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>IR formulation</th>
<th>MR formulation</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/mL)</td>
<td>38.84±11.48</td>
<td>31.60±9.11</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>4.20±1.33</td>
<td>4.53±2.22</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>3.47±1.28</td>
<td>2.58±1.08</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>3.84±1.54</td>
<td>3.53±1.58</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt; (1/h)</td>
<td>0.22±0.08</td>
<td>0.31±0.11</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.20±0.06</td>
<td>0.26±0.14</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0→∞&lt;/sub&gt; (µg·h/mL)</td>
<td>243.90±110.37</td>
<td>155.57±76.14</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>32.87±10.32</td>
<td>34.37±6.03</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>5.84±1.87</td>
<td>4.40±1.37</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>7.81±1.57</td>
<td>6.93±0.89</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</td>
<td>0.24±0.11</td>
<td>0.40±0.20</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>1.65±0.49</td>
<td>2.08±0.69</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Effect (%) of gliclazide IR formulation minitablets on the plasma glucose level<sup>a</sup> in normal and STZ- treated rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal rats (Control group)</td>
<td>107.63±4.34 (100%)</td>
<td>129.63±9.24 (120.79±12.13%)</td>
<td>132.50±8.02 (123.38±10.42%)</td>
<td>127.38±8.31 (118.63±10.67%)</td>
<td>126.13±7.28 (117.41±9.15%)</td>
<td>123.63±2.50 (115.04±5.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR formulation</td>
<td>102.29±5.25 (100%)</td>
<td>99.29±11.10 (97.06±9.41%)</td>
<td>103.71±11.07 (101.67±12.26%)</td>
<td>111.43±15.79 (109.33±17.23%)</td>
<td>120.14±11.36 (117.78±13.01%)</td>
<td>119.00±6.24&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (116.52±6.84%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STZ-treated rats (Control group)</td>
<td>115.43±8.92 (100%)</td>
<td>131.71±19.27 (129.9±16.96%)</td>
<td>140.71±19.81 (137.61±26.92%)</td>
<td>157.00±28.34 (130.24±24.33%)</td>
<td>150.29±30.32 (142.23±22.23%)</td>
<td>135.00±13.50 (117.20±10.50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR formulation</td>
<td>103.14±14.54 (100%)</td>
<td>154.86±41.66 (154.38±51.81%)</td>
<td>127.00±16.07 (125.10±21.85%)</td>
<td>122.00±7.10 (120.15±16.66%)</td>
<td>127.43±8.38 (125.68±19.56%)</td>
<td>144.29±13.04 (142.23±22.23%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Plasma glucose levels are expressed as mg/dL.

<sup>b</sup> Initial values of glucose blood concentration before gliclazide administration are considered as to 100% the mean ± SD (n = 7).
although mean values suggest slower elimination of gliclazide in MR tablet group. Surprisingly, we observed a discrepancy in pharmacokinetics of gliclazide between diabetic and healthy rats. Even though statistical test showed no-significant difference between those two groups, a tendency towards slower elimination in diabetic rats was observed. Moreover, bioavailability of gliclazide as well as $C_{\text{max}}$ was higher in STZ-group, but only for IR tablet.

Pharmacodynamics of formulations was examined through analysis of glucose level changes in time. Obtained values were analyzed for both gliclazide and placebo administered groups. When pharmacodynamic effect is considered it seems that gliclazide was more effective in lowering blood glucose levels in normal rats than in diabetic ones. The most significant reduction in glucose concentration was observed in the normal rats group treated with gliclazide IR. One hour after administration it was lowered by almost 50 mg/dL if compared to the initial concentration. Sudden drop of glucose concentration may lead to many symptoms such as hunger, extensive sweating, behavioral changes and even epileptic events. In case of gliclazide MR tablets the glucose concentrations were maintained on a relatively stable level, however the hypoglycaemic effect seemed to be larger in normal rats, whereas in STZ-treated individuals it oscillated around concentrations measured for placebo. This difference might be an effect of decreased number of $\beta$-cells or their impaired function after STZ injection. Stetinova et al. (11) observed similar results in rats with alloxan-induced diabetes.

The IVIVC is a predictive mathematical model describing the relationship between in-vitro property of a dosage and relevant in-vivo response (23). As the correlation was established the expected bioavailability of MR formulation can be accurately and precisely predicted from dissolution profile characteristics. Therefore, dissolution tests may be used as a sensitive, reliable and reproducible surrogate for bioequivalence tests. As were as, the technological parameters like equipment, manufacturing process, batch size may be optimized. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidance has identified four categories of IVIVC models: namely, level A, B, C and multiple C models (19). Several investigators (23, 24 and 25) have attempted to develop IVIVC models based on these categories. Therefore, in certain cases, especially for MR formulations, the dissolution test can serve as an indicator of in-vivo performance of a formulation. IVIVC may reduce the number of bioequivalence studies that must be performed during initial approval process and also post-approval changes. Gliclazide properties such low water solubility and a high lipophilicity classify this drug to the second class of the BCS, for which the level A of IVIVC is expected. In this case, a formulation may influence the in-vitro dissolution rate leading to different absorption rates (18).

### Table 5. Effect (%) of gliclazide MR minitablets on the plasma glucose level in normal and STZ-treated rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>0$^a$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal rats (Control group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR formulation</td>
<td>107.63±4.34</td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>129.63±9.24</td>
<td>132.50±8.02</td>
<td>127.38±8.31</td>
<td>126.13±7.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STZ-treated rats (Control group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR formulation</td>
<td>112.43±7.25</td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>58.86±3.98</td>
<td>59.86±14.71</td>
<td>87.29±13.16</td>
<td>90.00±18.79</td>
<td>90.57±21.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal rats (Control group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>115.43±8.92</td>
<td>131.1±19.27</td>
<td>140.71±19.81</td>
<td>157.00±28.34</td>
<td>150.29±30.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR formulation</td>
<td>114.57±5.74</td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>83.1±16.96</td>
<td>87.14±10.02</td>
<td>95.00±6.88</td>
<td>101.00±12.11</td>
<td>109.00±19.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Plasma glucose levels are expressed as mg/dL.

$^b$ Initial values of glucose blood concentration before gliclazide administration are considered as to 100% the mean ± standard deviation ($n = 7$)

$^c$ Statistical significance at p < 0.05 (compared to the control group)
In summary, bioavailability of MR tablets was 6-fold lower if compared to conventional tablets. The difference in IR gliclazide plasma concentration between normoglycemia and streptozocin-treated groups was greater if compared to MR gliclazide (the levels in STZ-treated animals tended to by slightly higher). The pharmacodynamic efficacy of gliclazide was less significant in the animals with streptozocin-induced hyperglycemia if compared to normoglycemic rats. It seems that a decrease in glycemina in healthy subjects might not be a suitable factor for characterizing antidiabetic drugs.
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